Evaluation of Teaching
Peer and Self Assessment
For May 6, 2021 Faculty Senate Review
Developed by the Academic Policy Council with input from the Welfare Council

This document presents suggested guidelines and recommendations on conducting peer assessment of teaching on a regular basis. These can be implemented at the college or department levels. Some colleges have already implemented a process. Evaluation of Teaching should be tailored to the modalities (in person, online, labs, flipped) and level of program (undergraduate, graduate, professional).

#### **Definitions**

Collective Bargaining Agreement Definition

18.3d Peer Assessment. A faculty member has the right to have a peer or colleague to observe/visit the faculty member's teaching and to have an assessment of that observation/visitation included as part of the faculty member's annual report. The chair shall invite the peer evaluator, who may be within the University, a retired colleague, or a colleague in the same discipline from another university.

## **Evaluation of Teaching**

Rigorous, fair, unbiased evaluation of teaching is required on an annual basis as part of a faculty's annual evaluation and as part of an evaluation for tenure and/or promotion. The evaluation should be comprehensive and not solely be based on the end of course evaluations by students. The recommendation is to implement a review capturing three voices: end of course evaluation (as they are currently done) to capture the student's voice, a peer review of teaching to capture the peer's voice, and a self-assessment (in conjunction with a peer review) to capture the faculty's voice. A peer assessment should be used to provide constructive feedback that can be used to improve teaching.

Additional documentation of a teaching vision and evidence can be collected in an educational portfolio which can be submitted in section 11 of the promotion and tenure packet.

#### How is the assessment conducted?

It is recommended that the faculty member first completes a self-assessment in which they can outline the goals for the course, the implementation and associated pedagogy, and other information to provide context to the reviewer and the department chair. This self-assessment is provided to the peer evaluator(s) before a classroom visit.

### Frequency of Evaluation

Faculty (tenured, tenure-track, and non-tenure-track) should have a peer assessment of teaching 2-3 times before their next promotion. At least 1 assessment should be conducted prior to a mid-term evaluation.

Reference: IFAS conducts 2 before mid-term, 1 before tenure application, Vet Med conducts 2-3 evaluations per year, CoEd conducts 2 per tenure/promotion period.

# Who conducts the evaluation?

The department chair shall invite the evaluator(s). Optimally, the recommendation is to have a team of 2 evaluators, 1 evaluator with the disciplinary knowledge of the field of the course, and 1 evaluator with experience in evaluating pedagogy.

During the classroom visit, it is recommended that the evaluators will use a predefined rubric to assess the course. A core rubric is provided on the next page. Any non-relevant sections of the rubric (depending on the type of course), can be omitted. Examples of rubrics from other units are available:

- Center for Teaching Excellence
- CALS
- Vetmed

#### Results of the assessment

The results of the assessment are the rubric form with a free text (no more than one page) assessment.

Within one month of completing the assessment, the review should be forwarded to the department chair and the instructor.

This rubric should be used as a core to allow some consistency between colleges.								
	<i>'</i> c	ween colleges.				<sup>'</sup> Improveme <sub>r</sub>		
	Superi	Stron	Satisfa <sub>C</sub>	$N_{eed_S}$	$U_{DSat}$	<b>%</b>		
Course materials and organization								
The course goals and objectives in the syllabus are clearly								
stated and measurable.								
The course activities, content, and assessments are clearly stated								
	Ш							
The course activities, content, and assessments help students develop critical thinking skills and achieve appropriate levels of								
cognitive achievement.  The course materials are presented in an organized and								
effective manner.					П			
Instructor's Presentation of Material								
The instructor uses a range of teaching activities and techniques to account for differences in student learning styles, drawing on those that are appropriate to the course content. These may include readings, lectures, student projects, audio-visual materials, and/or other forms of student interactions.								
The instructor presentation of course materials and instructions are clear and easy to follow.								
Materials presentation is aligned with the course goals and objectives.								
The instructor conveys competence in presenting the materials								
Student Engagement								
The instructor provides opportunities for students to be								
actively engaged in the learning process through methods such as exercises, questioning, student presentations, discussions, and interactive activities.								
The instructor actively encourages student engagement with the course contents, the instructor, and/or their classmates.								

<sup>\*</sup>Other components may be added to this rubric to address courses that involve specialized teaching (laboratory, clinical, service learning, etc).