Ed.S. in Curriculum and Instruction (ISC) Academic Assessment Plan 2012-13

College of Education Alyson Adams adamsa@coe.ufl.edu Office of the Provost

University of Florida

Institutional Assessment

Continuous Quality
Enhancement

Table of Contents

Acad	lemic Assessment Plan for Ed.S. in Curriculum and Instruction (ISC)	3
A.	Mission	3
В.	Student Learning Outcomes and Assessment Measures	4
C.	Research	4
D.	Assessment Timeline	5
E.	Assessment Cycle	5
F.	Measurement Tools	6
G.	Assessment Oversight	6
Арре	endix 1: ARTIFACT GRADING RUBRIC	7
Figu	re 1. University of Florida Graduate/Professional Program Assessment Plan Review Rubric	8
	University of Florida Graduate/Professional Program Assessment Plan Review Rubric, continued	9

Academic Assessment Plan for Ed.S. in Curriculum and Instruction (ISC)

College of Education

A. Mission

The Teacher Leadership for School Improvement (TLSI) program is an award-winning jobembedded online program designed to meet the needs of practicing PK-12 teachers and the students and communities they serve. This program allows a full-time practicing educator to earn a Educational Specialist degree (Ed.S.) in Curriculum & Instruction with a concentration in Teacher Leadership for School Improvement. The TLSI program is designed to empower teachers by enhancing their knowledge, skills, and dispositions in the areas of teaching, research, and leadership and advocacy. Throughout the TLSI program, educators document evidence of their growth in their expertise instructionally, as a teacher researcher, and teacher leadership and advocacy through the TLSI Portfolio. The intent of the TLSI program is for educators to develop and grow as master teachers, teacher researchers, and teacher leaders.

The TLSI program is aligned with both the college and university missions. The mission of the College of Education is "to prepare exemplary practitioners and scholars; to generate, use and disseminate knowledge about teaching, learning and human development; and to collaborate with others to solve critical educational and human problems in a diverse global community" (http://education.ufl.edu/mission-history/). Specifically, our program is aligned with the parts of the college mission that refer to the preparation of exemplary practitioners and collaboration on solving critical educational problems because we focus on developing teacher leaders who focus on improving their schools.

The University of Florida is a comprehensive learning institution, built on a land, sea, and space grant foundation. We are a diverse community dedicated to making the world a better place, united in our commitment to diverse approaches as a means to engage the world¹s greatest challenges. Our mission is to promote open inquiry; to inspire scholarship and excellence; to generate, preserve, and disseminate knowledge; to learn, create, lead and serve for the benefit of the people of Florida, the nation, and the world. The mission is typically outlined with a focus on teaching, research, and service: "Teaching is a fundamental purpose of this university at both the undergraduate and graduate levels; Research and scholarship are integral to the educational process and to the expansion of our understanding of the natural world, the intellect and the senses; Service reflects the university's obligation to share the benefits of its research and knowledge for the public good" (http://www.registrar.ufl.edu/catalog1011/administration/mission.html). Specifically, our program is aligned with the parts of the university mission that focus on teaching and service because we prepare teachers as teacher scholars who address problems of practice in their local contexts.

B. Student Learning Outcomes and Assessment Measures

SLO Type	Student Learning Outcome	Assessment Method	Degree Delivery
Knowledge	The student will explain and evaluate their breadth of knowledge of content, methods, and contexts of schooling and education.	Education Specialist Committee will evaluate (satisfactory/unsatisfactory, pass/fail) the culminating portfolio/project, written, or oral exit examination.	Both
Skills	The student will utilize expertise developed in an area of specialization to pursue an original and significant inquiry or research question.	The Instructor for Supervised Research or Portfolio Practicum and Education Specialist Committee will evaluate the research paper or inquiry/research project. The expectation is that students will achieve a grade of "B" or higher on the research paper or inquiry/research project.	Both
Professional Behavior	The student will demonstrate their implementation of an inquiry stance to improving teaching and on-going professional learning that can be shared with other professionals.	Education Specialist Committee will evaluate: (1) the culminating portfolio/capstone project; or (2) national, state, local conference or meeting presentations; or (3) the preparation of a professional manuscript. Students will: (1) achieve a satisfactory assessment of their culminating portfolio/project; or (2) successfully present at local, state, or national conferences or meetings; or (3) successfully submit a professional manuscript for publication.	Both

C. Research

Our Ed.S is considered a research degree, and as the first class in their program, our students take a course in conducting research as a practitioner: EDE 6325 Teacher Inquiry/Action Research. In this course, students learn the basics of conducting classroom research to focus on their own practice as educators. It is a program goal to prepare teachers as teacher researchers, and students are expected to prepare evidence of their growth in this area in their final portfolios required for graduation. In addition, the Ed.S. students also take 5 credits of EDG 6910 Supervised Research, as they work to prepare their final portfolio with evidence of growth in all program areas. As far as research supports from the department, these students are online students, so many of the campus based supports are not available. However, we encourage them to apply for travel funds from the Graduate Student Council to present at conferences.

D. Assessment Timeline

Program: Ed.S. in Curriculum and Instruction (ISC) College: Education

Assessment	Assessment 1
SLOs	
Knowledge	
The student will explain and evaluate their breadth of knowledge of content, methods, and contexts of schooling and education.	Written and Oral Exam of Portfolio is conducted in the semester prior to graduation. Evidence is found primarily in the portfolio section on "Master Teacher".
Skills	
The student will utilize expertise developed in an area of specialization to pursue an original and significant inquiry or research question.	Written and Oral Exam of Portfolio is conducted in the semester prior to graduation. Evidence is found primarily in the portfolio section on "Teacher Researcher" and is based on a project developed during the first course in the program, Teacher Inquiry/Action Research.
Professional Behavior	
The student will demonstrate their implementation of an inquiry stance to improving teaching and on-going professional learning that can be shared with other professionals.	Written and Oral Exam of Portfolio is conducted in the semester prior to graduation. Evidence is found primarily in the portfolio section on "Teacher Researcher".

E. Assessment Cycle

Program: Ed.S. in Curriculum and Instruction (ISC)College: EducationAnalysis and Interpretation:Completed by September 30Program Modifications:Completed by September 30Dissemination:Completed by September 30

Year SLOs	10- 11	11- 12	12- 13	13- 14	14- 15	15- 16
Knowledge						
The student will explain and evaluate their breadth of knowledge of content, methods, and contexts of schooling and education.	Х	X	Х	X	Х	Х
Skills						
The student will utilize expertise developed in an area of specialization to pursue an original and significant inquiry or research question.	X	X	X	X	X	Х
Professional Behavior						
The student will demonstrate their implementation of an inquiry stance to improving teaching and on-going professional learning that can be shared with other professionals.	X	X	X	X	X	X

F. Measurement Tools

The SLOs are measured using the final written portfolio and oral examination/defense of the portfolio. The portfolio requires students to provide evidence of growth in 3 areas that align with the program goals of creating a) Master Teachers; b) Teacher Researchers; and c) Teacher Leaders. In this portfolio, student provide several artifacts for each goal area which show evidence of implementation of program learning as it is applied in a classroom or educational setting. A rubric is used to assess the quality of each individual portfolio artifact and then another rubric is used to assess the quality of the final portfolio and oral examination. An example of the rubric to assess the individual artifacts in the final portfolio is included below (Appendix 1). Specifically, the rubric criteria that align directly with the SLOs are indicated below:

Rubric Criteria 5 (links to lit	erature)SLO for Knowledge & Skills
Rubric Criteria 6 (evidence o	of learning/change)SLO for Skills & Professional Behavior
Rubric Criteria 7 (reflection))SLO for Knowledge & Professional Behavior

G. Assessment Oversight

Here, list the names and contact information of those who oversee the assessment process in your program. Add or delete rows as needed.

Name	Department Affiliation	Email Address	Phone Number
Alyson Adams	School of Teaching and	adamsa@coe.ufl.edu	273-4107
	Learning		
Elayne Colón	Dean's Area	epcolon@coe.ufl.edu	273-4132
Tom Dana	Dean's Area	tdana@coe.ufl.edu	273-4134

Appendix 1: ARTIFACT GRADING RUBRIC

Criteria	Points
1. Introduction	/2
An introduction with an interesting lead-in opens the paper.	
2. Description	/10
 A clear description of the artifact(s) is provided in the paper: The artifact is named (For example, "My artifact is a unit plan/ a series of workshops/a website/an inquiry") The general components of the artifact are explained. The reader should not have to go to the artifact(s), itself, to infer this information. The paper should stand alone in explaining the main ideas of the artifact(s). 	10
3. Rationale	/8
A strong rationale for selecting this artifact(s) as an example of your professional development is given in the paper.	
4. Links to TLSI Goal(s)	/5
You have made a clear link between your artifact(s) and one or more of your goals in the TLSI program (teacher leader, teacher researcher, or master teacher).	
5. Links to the Literature	/5
You have made clear links between your artifact(s) and the literature from your TLSI coursework, as well as from your own research. The idea here is to demonstrate how you are synthesizing your TLSI course content over time, as well as how you are blending theory (the literature) with your day-to-day practice. Specialist students, in particular, must have an exceptionally strong literature base in the paper that includes many citations.	
6. Evidence of Learning/Change	/6
Data is submitted (such as graphs of test scores, photographs of student writing or work samples, teacher-created plans or materials, observations, quotes from teachers or students) and clearly discussed as <i>evidence of change in teacher practice</i> and/or in student learning or achievement Multiple forms of data will strengthen this aspect of your paper.	
7. Reflection and Next Steps	/6
 Based on the data discussed above: The learning of the practitioner as a teacher leader, a teacher researcher, or a master teacher is reflected upon. Specific plans for changes in practice as a teacher leader, teacher researcher, or master teacher are outlined. 	
8. Professional Presentation	/3
Grammar, APA citations and reference section, organization, and composition are of scholarly quality. The writer has clearly collaborated with an editor.	
9. Evidence of Implementation	/5
The artifact has been implemented already and evidence of this is provided. If the artifact is "in progress," provide preliminary evidence that allowed you to draw conclusions thus far in this paper. In the body of the paper indicate in red text what still needs to be done, as well as specific dates that these steps will be implemented.	
TOTAL POINTS	/ 50

Figure 1. University of Florida Graduate/Professional Program Assessment Plan Review Rubric Related resources are found at http://www.aa.assessment.edu

Program: Year:

Component	Criterion	Rating			Comments
		Met	Partially Met	Not Met	
	Mission statement is articulated clearly.				
	The program mission clearly supports the				
Mission Statement	College and University missions, and includes				
	specific statements describing how it				
	supports these missions.				
Student Learning Outcomes	SLOs are stated clearly.				
(SLOs) and Assessment	SLOs focus on demonstration of student				
Measures	learning.				
Measures	SLOs are measurable.				
	Measurements are appropriate for the SLO.				
	Research expectations for the program are				
Research	clear, concise, and appropriate for the				
	discipline.				
	The Assessment Map indicates the times in				
Assessment Map	the program where the SLOs are assessed and				
Assessment Map	measured.				
	The Assessment Map identifies the				
	assessments used for each SLO.				
	The assessment cycle is clear.				
	All student learning outcomes are measured.				
	Data is collected at least once in the cycle.				
	The cycle includes a date or time period for				
Assessment Cycle	data analysis and interpretation.				
	The cycle includes a date for planning				
	improvement actions based on the data				
	analysis.				
	The cycle includes a date for dissemination of				
	results to the appropriate stakeholders.				

University of Florida Graduate/Professional Program Assessment Plan Review Rubric, continued

Component	Criterion	Rating			Comments
		Met	Partially Met	Not Met	
Measurement Tools	Measurement tools are				
	described clearly and				
	concisely.				
	Measurements are				
	appropriate for the SLOs.				
	Methods and procedures				
	reflect an appropriate balance				
	of direct and indirect				
	methods.				
	The report presents examples				
	of at least one measurement				
	tool.				
Assessment Oversight	Appropriate personnel			_	
	(coordinator, committee, etc.)				
	charged with assessment				
	responsibilities are identified				