

2012-2013 M.E. in Chemical Engineering Academic Assessment Plan

College of Engineering
Oscar D. Crisalle
crisalle@che.ufl.edu

Office of the Provost

*University of
Florida*

*Institutional
Assessment*

*Continuous Quality
Enhancement*

Table of Contents

Academic Assessment Plan for the M.E. Degree in Chemical Engineering	3
A. Mission	3
B. Student Learning Outcomes and Assessment Measures	3
C. Research	4
D. Assessment Timeline.....	5
E. Assessment Cycle	7
F. Measurement Tools.....	7
G. Assessment Oversight	9
Figure 1. University of Florida Graduate/Professional Program Assessment Plan Review Rubric	10
University of Florida Graduate/Professional Program Assessment Plan Review Rubric, continued.....	11

Academic Assessment Plan for the M.E. Degree in Chemical Engineering

College of Engineering

A. Mission

The mission of the Department of Chemical Engineering is stated as follows:

To offer high-quality undergraduate and graduate degree programs in chemical engineering, and to conduct research that helps educate graduate students and serves the needs of Florida and the nation.

Support to the mission of the College of Engineering

The mission of the College of Engineering is stated as follows

The College of Engineering fosters and provides world-class programs in engineering education, research and service to enhance the economic and social well-being of the citizens of Florida, the nation and the world.

The department's mission supports the college's mission by addressing the college's the world-class program aspirations through the delivery of high-quality degree programs in chemical engineering, and by helping to educate chemical engineering students who can enhance the economic and social well-being of the state of Florida.

Support to the mission of the University of Florida

The department's mission lends support to the following elements of the university's mission (itemized below for easy reference):

(a) It is the mission of the University of Florida to offer broad-based, exclusive public education, leading-edge research and service to the citizens of Florida, the nation and the world.

(b) Teaching is a fundamental purpose of this university at both the undergraduate and graduate levels.

(c) Research and scholarship are integral to the education process and to the expansion of our understanding of the natural world, the intellect and the senses.

Element (a) of the university's mission is supported by the department's mission-explicit mandate to educate students who can serve the needs of the state of Florida. Element (b) is supported by the department's commitment to offer undergraduate and graduate chemical-engineering degrees that are of high quality, hence addressing the fundamental nature of the teaching purpose of the university. Element (c) is supported through the department's mission-explicit statement to educate graduate students through a research venue focusing on chemical engineering.

B. Student Learning Outcomes and Assessment Measures

SLO Type	Student Learning Outcome	Assessment Method	Degree Delivery
Knowledge	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Ability to identify a problem b. Ability to formulate a problem c. Ability to solve engineering problems d. Ability to critically read engineering literature 	<p>Evaluated by:</p> <p>(1) The Research Advisor through the <i>M.E. Final Project</i> (all ME students)</p> <p>(2) The Supervisor of an <i>Industrial Internship Experience</i> (only for ME students who elect the internship option)</p> <p><i>Rubric:</i> The rubric identifies each of the SLOs of this type.</p> <p><i>Metric:</i> Each SLO is evaluated through a scoring scale of 0 (SLO Not Met), 1 (Partially Met), and 2 (Met).</p>	Campus
Skills	Ability to use the techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice at an advanced level	Same as above.	Campus
Professional Behavior	Ability to communicate effectively	Same as above.	Campus

C. Research

The Masters of Engineering (ME) degree program in Chemical Engineering at the University of Florida is designed to be a first graduate degree for students without an ABET-accredited bachelor's degree in Chemical Engineering. Such students include those with undergraduate degrees in science and in other engineering disciplines. The program provides necessary

undergraduate coursework and first-year graduate courses, as well as research-experience opportunities.

The Chemical Engineering ME program requires students to enroll for 6 credits in one of the following academic venues:

- (I) Completion of a research project
- (II) Completion of an industrial internship

All ME students select a Research Advisor by the end of their first semester in the department.

All ME students are required to produce a document entitled *M.E. Final Report* that is evaluated by the Research Advisor. The M.E. Final Report for students pursuing venues (I) or (II) must respectively document details of a completed research project or a completed industrial internship experience.

ME students in venue (I) are expected to be able to explore and successfully complete a research project deemed of academic merit by the student's Research Advisor. The scope of the research work in venue (I) is defined to ensure that the project can be completed in one term.

The department offers a weekly graduate seminar series with presenters of local, national, and international affiliations, and focused on research topics that are intended for the educational benefit of graduate students. All M.E. students are encouraged to register for seminar attendance.

All ME students involved in experimental research are required to complete safety training on an annual basis.

D. Assessment Timeline

The assessment time for each of the program's student learning outcomes is indicated in the table given below as occurring when the *M.E. Final Report* is submitted by the M.E. students. The assessment tool used is the rubric described in Section F.

The assessment tool is also deployed immediately after completion of an industrial internship experience for those ME students who opt to pursue the industrial internship venue described as item (II) in Section C.

SLOs	Assessment
Knowledge	
Ability to identify a problem	(1) M.E. Final Report Submission (2) Date of Completion of Internship*
Ability to formulate a problem	(1) M.E. Final Report Submission (2) Date of Completion of Internship*
Ability to solve engineering problems	(1) M.E. Final Report Submission (2) Date of Completion of Internship*
Ability to critically read engineering literature	(1) M.E. Final Report Submission (2) Date of Completion of Internship*
Skills	
Ability to use the techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice at an advanced level	(1) M.E. Final Report Submission (2) Date of Completion of Internship*
Professional Behavior	
Ability to communicate effectively	(1) M.E. Final Report Submission (2) Date of Completion of Internship*

* Applicable only for ME students who opt for the industrial internship venue identified in item (II) of Section C.

E. Assessment Cycle

Assessment Cycle for:

M.E. in Chemical Engineering

College of Engineering

Analysis and Interpretation:

May - June

Program Modifications:

Completed by September 15

Dissemination:

Completed by October 15

SLOs	Year	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15	15-16
Knowledge							
Ability to identify a problem			X	X	X	X	X
Ability to formulate a problem			X	X	X	X	X
Ability to solve engineering problems			X	X	X	X	X
Ability to critically read engineering literature			X	X	X	X	X
Skills							
Ability to use the techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice at an advanced level			X	X	X	X	X
Professional Behavior							
Ability to communicate effectively			X	X	X	X	X

The assessment timeline indicated in Section D is implemented so that the assessment data is collected once in each cycle.

F. Measurement Tools

For M.E. degree students, the Research Advisor (and the student's Supervisor in the case of industrial internships) utilize the rubric shown below to assess all Student Learning Outcomes of the program using a scale of **0 (SLO not met)**, **1 (SLO partially met)**, and **2 (SLO met)**.



Chemical Engineering Department
University of Florida
Gainesville FL 32611-6005

LEARNING OUTCOMES ASSESSMENT FORM

Student Name: _____ Student UF ID: _____

Advisor/Supervisor Name: _____ Student Degree Goal: _____

Assessment Tool: Doctoral Research-Plan Defense Thesis Defense Final Project Internship Event

Instructions: The advisor or internship supervisor is asked to assign a single numeric score for each outcome. This information is confidential and therefore should not be shared with students. Please return the completed form to the Graduate Program Secretary, Ms. Shirley Kelly.

OUTCOME	MERIT CRITERIA	QUANTITATIVE SCORING SCALE			OUTCOME SCORE
		2	1	0	
KNOWLEDGE					
Ability to identify a problem	This outcome is judged through the evaluation of the thesis for PhD and MS students and the Final Report for MSNT students	Is able to observe phenomena and extract interesting problems from the observations	Is able to identify important issues but is not able to define the issues into a problem	Cannot identify important issues and problems in the research	
Ability to formulate a problem	This outcome is judged through the evaluation of the thesis for PhD and MS students and the Final Report for MSNT students	Is able to convert the identified problem into a mathematical formulation	Is able to convert the problem into a suitable description but is not able to convert it to an appropriate mathematical formulation	Requires a lot of help in converting a problem into an appropriate formulation	
Ability to solve engineering problems	This outcome is judged through the evaluation of the thesis for PhD and MS students and the Final Report for MSNT students	Is able to solve the formulated problem relatively independently	Requires help in solving the formulated problem	Lacks the ability to solve the formulated problem	
Ability to critically read engineering literature	This outcome is judged through the evaluation of the thesis for PhD and MS students and the Final Report for MSNT students	Is able to find relevant papers, read the papers, and utilize the papers in research	Is able to find and read papers, but has some problems in understanding the material and integrating it in research	Cannot find important literature.	
SKILL					
Ability to use the techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice at an advanced level	This outcome is judged through the evaluation of the thesis for PhD and MS students and the Final Report for MSNT students	Is able to demonstrate mastery of the ability to use techniques, skills, and tools utilized in the research	Is able to demonstrate a good understanding and ability to use in future for techniques, skills, and tools utilized in research	Cannot demonstrate the ability to use techniques, skills and tools utilized in research	
PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE					
Ability to communicate effectively	This outcome is judged through the evaluation of the thesis for PhD and MS students and the Final Report for MSNT students	Wrote an excellent report and gave an excellent presentation	Wrote a good report and gave a good presentation	Did not write a good report, nor gave a good presentation	

G. Assessment Oversight

The Department Chair, assisted by the Director of Graduate Studies and the department's SACS and ABET Coordinator, provides oversight of the assessment. Relevant contact information is shown below. A professional Graduate Academic Advisor provides clerical assistance. The doctoral student's Supervisory Committee fills out the rubric used as measurement tool (*cf.* Section F). The names and contact information of individuals carrying out the oversight responsibilities are given in the table shown below.

Name	Department Affiliation	Email Address	Phone Number
Prof. Richard B. Dickinson	Department Chair	dickinson@che.ufl.edu	(352) 392-0882
Prof. Anuj Chauhan	Director of Graduate Studies	chauhan@che.ufl.edu	(352) 392-2592
Prof. Oscar D. Crisalle	SACS and ABET Coordinator	crisalle@che.ufl.edu	(352) 392-5120
Mrs. Shirley Kelly	Graduate Academic Advisor	shirley@che.ufl.edu	(352) 392-4753

The specific responsibilities of the oversight personnel are as follows:

<i>Department Chair</i>	Provide approval for all assessment activities, review results at the end of each assessment cycle, and ensure compliance. Direct the implementation of assessment methodology modifications, and solicit input from other oversight personnel on potential venues for improving the assessment process.
<i>Director of Graduate Studies</i>	Identify evolutionary changes in the program and suggest possible modifications to the assessment plan as needed to accurately reflect the most current version of the program. Suggest to the Department Chair changes that may be necessary to improve the department's ability to more successfully meet the learning outcomes.
<i>SACS and ABET Coordinator</i>	Implement all aspects of the assessment program. Analyze the assessment results at the end of each assessment cycle. Prepare all reporting documentation. Suggest to the Department Chair changes that may be necessary to improve the department's ability to more successfully meet the learning outcomes. Departmental dissemination of the plan's implementation requirements and of cyclical modifications. Liaison role with the College of Engineering.
<i>Graduate Academic Adviser</i>	Responsible for the distribution and collection of the measurement tool.

Figure 1. University of Florida Graduate/Professional Program Assessment Plan Review Rubric

Related resources are found at <http://www.ua.assessment.edu>

Program:		Year:			
Component	Criterion	Rating			Comments
		Met	Partially Met	Not Met	
Mission Statement	Mission statement is articulated clearly.				
	The program mission clearly supports the College and University missions, and includes specific statements describing how it supports these missions.				
Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) and Assessment Measures	SLOs are stated clearly.				
	SLOs focus on demonstration of student learning.				
	SLOs are measurable.				
	Measurements are appropriate for the SLO.				
Research	Research expectations for the program are clear, concise, and appropriate for the discipline.				
Assessment Map	The Assessment Map indicates the times in the program where the SLOs are assessed and measured.				
	The Assessment Map identifies the assessments used for each SLO.				
Assessment Cycle	The assessment cycle is clear.				
	All student learning outcomes are measured.				
	Data is collected at least once in the cycle.				
	The cycle includes a date or time period for data analysis and interpretation.				
	The cycle includes a date for planning improvement actions based on the data analysis.				
	The cycle includes a date for dissemination of results to the appropriate stakeholders.				

University of Florida Graduate/Professional Program Assessment Plan Review Rubric, continued.

Component	Criterion	Rating			Comments
		Met	Partially Met	Not Met	
Measurement Tools	Measurement tools are described clearly and concisely.				
	Measurements are appropriate for the SLOs.				
	Methods and procedures reflect an appropriate balance of direct and indirect methods.				
	The report presents examples of at least one measurement tool.				
Assessment Oversight	Appropriate personnel (coordinator, committee, etc.) charged with assessment responsibilities are identified				