Undergraduate Academic Assessment Plan 2017-18

Bachelor of Science in Fire & Emergency Services

College of Design, Construction & Planning

Table of Contents

Mission Statement	3
Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) for the Bachelor of Science in Fire & Emergency Services	4
Curriculum Map	5
Assessment Cycle	6
Methods and Procedures	7
SLO Assessment Matrix	7
SLO Assessment	7
Assessment Oversight	11

Bachelor of Science in Fire & Emergency Services College of Design, Construction & Planning Undergraduate Academic Assessment Plan

Mission Statement

The mission of the M. E. Rinker, Sr. School of Construction Management is to be the center of excellence for construction. The Rinker School will pursue this by:

1. Promoting professional and ethical behavior in education and practice,

2. Advancing the industry by creating new knowledge through research and scholarly activities,

3. Educating individuals in principles, knowledge and skills required to be successful in their professional careers, and

4. Providing service and transferring knowledge to the citizens of Florida, the construction industry, professional societies, the nation, and the world.

The Rinker School will achieve this mission by fostering a core culture of value and quality. This mission directly supports the College of Design, Construction, and Planning's strategic plan of 20016 with respect to capabilities for Distance Education offerings as well as strengthening the graduate program which in turn supports the teaching mission of the University of Florida.

Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) for the Bachelor of Science in Fire & Emergency Services

- 1. Recommend effective organizational management skills associated in emergency services.
- 2. Assess communication technology systems, both current and emerging, for emergency services.
- 3. Critique application of safety, health, and environmental regulations as applicable to emergency services.
- 4. Contrast leadership skills in emergency services.
- 5. Manage human resource issues within emergency services.
- 6. Produce effective verbal and written communication skills in emergency services.

Curriculum Map

Program: Bachelor of Science in Fire & Emergency Services

College: Design, Construction and Planning

Key: <u>I</u> ntroduced	<u>R</u> eir	nforced			<u>A</u> ssess	ed				
Courses SLOs	Fes 3004	FES 3015	FES 3153	FES 3284	FES 3285	FES 3533	FES 3753	FES 3720	FES 3815	FES 3822
Content Knowledge										
SLO #1	Ι	Ι		I/R	I/R		Ι	I/R	R	I/R
		Ι	A			Ι			Ι	
SLO #2				I/R				I/R		
SLO#3	А	Ι		I/R	I/R	Ι		I/R	R	А
Critical Thinking									I	
SLO #4	Ι	Ι		I/R	I/R	I I	I	I/R I/R	R	I/R
SLO #5		Ι		I/R	I/R	1		1/K	К	
Communication										
SLO #6	Ι	Ι		I/R		Ι	Ι	А	I/R	I/R
Courses SLOs	FES 4003	FES 4023	FE3				FES 402		FES 4234	FES 4244
Content Knowledge										L (D
_SLO #1	R	R		R		R	А	I	R	I/R
SLO #2				R	I/R			I/R	Ι	
SLO #3	I/R		F	2				Ι	I/R	А
Critical Thinking	1/1			-						
SLO #4	R	A	R			R	R	I/R	I/R	I/R
SLO #5	R			А			R		Ι	
Communication										
SLO #6	R	R	R	R	R		R	I	I/R	I/R

Assessment Cycle

6

Fire & Emergency Services

Design, Construction and Planning

Year SLOs	16-17	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	21-22
Content Knowledge						
#1	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
#2	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
#3	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Critical Thinking						
#4	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
#5	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Communication						
#6	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

Methods and Procedures

SLO Assessment Matrix

2017-18 Student Learning Outcome	Assessment Method	Measurement Procedure
Recommend effective organizational management skills associated in emergency services.	Final Paper (FES 4585)	Rubric
Assess communication technology systems, both current and emerging, for emergency services.	Final paper (FES 3153)	Rubric
Critique application of safety, health, and environmental regulations as applicable to emergency services.	Final Paper (FES 3004, FES3822, FES4244)	Rubric
Contrast leadership skills in emergency services.	Final Paper (FES 4023)	Rubric
Manage human resource issues within emergency services.	Final paper (FES 4045)	Rubric
Produce effective verbal and written communication skills in emergency services.	Final paper (FES 3720)	Rubric

SLO Assessment

SLO's are assessed in 8 different courses.

Direct Assessments are short and long writing assignments, projects, and presentations. Each course and instructor conducts some combination of these assessments. The assessments may vary from course to course as well as year to year since instructors are encouraged to develop and revise syllabi and course delivery to better achieve SLOs.

Since this is an asynchronous distance program that enrolls primarily working emergency services personnel with variable schedules, student progress is an issue. We seek to maintain student engagement in order to achieve a degree completion rate. For our indirect assessment we track the number of students on the inactive student list. The target is not to increase the number of students on the inactive list.

Rubric used to assessed final paper

Criteria for evaluating written assignments	Meets Expectations	Partially Meets Expectations	Fails to Meet Expectations	Comments
Content (worth 70% of the assignment grade)	Addresses all aspects of assignment in sufficient depth	Addresses most aspects of assignment in sufficient depth	Does not address most aspects of assignment and/or fails to do so in sufficient depth	
	Includes all components of the assignment	Includes most components of a the assignment	Includes some components of the assignment	
	Demonstrates understanding and application of information	Demonstrates understanding of information, but fails to include application	Does not demonstrate understanding or application of information	
Organization (worth 10% of the assignment grade)	Introduction – is clear and understandable	Introduction – is somewhat clear and understandable	Introduction – is not clear and understandable	
	Conclusion and recommendations follow logically from the body of the plan and bring closure to the plan	Conclusion and recommendations follow logically from the body of the plan but rather than bringing closure to the plan, it merely summarizes what has been previously stated	Conclusion and recommendations do not follow logically from the body of the paper nor do they bring closure to the paper	
	Subsequent sections are labeled and complete	Most sections are labeled and complete	Some sections are labeled and complete	
	Structure is clear, logical, and easy to follow; smooth	Usually the structure is clear, logical, and easy to	Sometimes the structure clear, logical, and easy to	

Readability and Style (worth 10% of the assignment grade)	transition between paragraphs which help maintain the flow of thought Meets appropriate length	follow, with smooth transitions between paragraphs to help maintain the flow of thought	follow; seldom includes smooth transitions between paragraphs to help maintain the flow of thought Does not meet appropriate length	
	No major errors in spelling, punctuation, and grammar	Few errors in spelling, punctuation, and grammar	Numerous errors in spelling, punctuation, and grammar	
	Paper is laid out effectively—uses headings and other reader- friendly tools	Paper is laid out effectively, but could make better use of headings and other reader- friendly tools	Paper is not laid out effectively; fails to use headings and other reader-friendly tools	
	Paper is professional in appearance and demonstrates attention to detail; tone of voice is appropriate to the audience, content, and assignment	Paper is professional in appearance and demonstrates attention to detail; but tone of voice is inappropriate to the audience, content, and assignment	Paper is not professional in appearance and demonstrates a lack of attention to detail; tone of voice is inappropriate to the audience, content, and assignment	
Format and References (worth 10% of the assignment grade)	Title page complete	Title page incomplete or inaccurate	No title page	

	References required number of sources	Number of sources referenced is fewer than required	Does not include references to outside sources	
	All references cited correctly	Most references cited correctly	References not cited correctly	
Total Points				
Earned				

Assessment Oversight

Name	Department Affiliation	Email Address	Phone Number
Robert J. Ries, Ph.D., R.A.	Director, School of Construction Management	rries@ufl.edu	352-273-1150
Jim Sullivan. Ph.D.	Director, Undergraduate Programs, School of Construction	<u>sullj@ufl.edu</u>	352-273-1150
Jeffrey T. Lindsey, Ph.D.	Program Coordinator, Fire & Emergency Services Programs;	jeffrey.lindsey@ufl.edu	352-273-1199
Joanne Rice	Gainesville Fire Rescue, Gainesville, FL Chairperson of FES Advisory Board	riceje@cityofgainesville.org	352-334-5075