

**Academic Integrity Task Force
Meeting Minutes
June 25, 2010, 2:00-3:30 pm
202 Peabody Hall**

Present: Telka Jackson (student representative), Jen Day Shaw, Ken Gerhardt, Angela Linder, Paul Robinson (student representative), Sara Mock, Paul Sindelar, Stephanie Hanson (transcriber)

Primary Meeting Focus: Subgroup reports on survey question development. We elected to combine agenda items 1 and 2 (report from subgroups and feedback from large group) to streamline discussion.

1 & 2. Report from Subgroups with Feedback from Large Group

- A. Group 1
 - a. Have found many different terms in literature; have not drafted questions yet in order to seek clarification on broadness of definitions; plan to have questions by next meeting
 - b. Advised to consider UF Honor Code definitions as lay out questions; encouraged to consider definitions broadly initially and then group can reduce together
- B. Group 4 – Paul R. reviewed findings on how students cheat. Group agreed to use this information in any educational or intervention recommendations to faculty that might result from task force work rather than asking students the cheating methods they use on a survey. We might also want to consider whether academic dishonesty varies by type of instructor (TA vs. faculty)
- C. Groups 2, 3, and 5 (attitudes/motivations, culture, prevention/intervention)
 - a. Handed out question drafts and received feedback; there is some overlap across groups, which was expected
 - b. Jen and Stephanie agreed to reduce overall question list and create an initial survey draft; they will also contact Institutional Research (IR) at this point to address survey design, process, etc.

3. Identification of Gaps/Missing Areas – Feedback on individual items was incorporated into the discussion noted above. However, we will return to this topic once a survey draft has been created.

4. Discussion of Next Steps –Next steps include survey draft and refinement, contact with IR, possible focus group work to include students, perhaps Council of Academic Deans, other faculty, and IRB approval to use data collected. We hope to have instrument ready to roll out by mid-Fall so we are well within timeline expected thus far.

Next Meeting: July 9, 2:00-3:30 pm in DSO Conference Room, 202 Peabody Hall